A new world of glass making Lighter and stronger...



XPACISION heading for perfection

Paul Schreuders, Cebu Philippines, October 2019

Question!

Glass is stronger then metal, but why is a can much lighter than glass?











Two factors: material & (forming) process

Variation in glass wall thickness due to variation in glass forming process



Variation in glass forming process

- Variation due to
 - Cullet quality
 - Glass homogeneity
 - Glass viscosity
 - Ambient temperature
 - (Manual) Swabbing
 - Wear when to change materials (moulds; delivery; etc.)
 - Know-how / experience: design blanks, setup process, control process (mainly manual)
 - Open loops (drifts)
 - Lack of factual information on critical process steps



Variation in glass forming process

Variation of intensity %

• We deal with this variation by using more glass (NNPB: 1.8; BB: 2.3)

Wall thickness in glass bottles continuously shifts both vertic and horizontally





Variation in glass forming process

- We should deal with this variation by
 - Reducing variation
 - Managing variation professionally and at Industry 4.0 level



 Wall thickness in glass bottles is less shifting = more constant glass distribution = less defects



Defects are process related



A glass forming process in control gives no (critical) defects!

A glass forming out of control gives (critical) defects!

"Defect-demons" do not exist

Defects are really preventable



Huge gains

Lighter and stronger containers... produced with zero defects... at higher speed... with minimum human dependency

Sustainability

Competitiveness

Bottom line



Reducing variation



heading for perfection

Reducing variation due to (manual) swabbing

- Manual (blank & neck ring) swabbing
 - Generally can be highly disruptive and is certainly not consistent (frequency, impact)
 - Often is a cover up for other problems
 - Leads to specific swabbing related defects as choked neck, hollow neck, wall thickness/glass distribution, thin bottom (= shift in glass wall tickness variation)



Reducing variation due to (manual) swabbing



Frequency \rightarrow every ca. 20 minutes

Impact \rightarrow the effect on (vertical) glass distribution in the bottle

Impact time \rightarrow how long it takes before the process stabilized again \rightarrow ca. 5 minutes to recover

How many bottles are affected or should be rejected



Reducing variation due to (manual) swabbing

- . Manual swabbing with SOP's and in real time feedback
- 2. Autoswabbing
 - Robot on IS machine, moving to sections
 - Fixed system per section
 - ABL / Carboflam technology

Autoswabbing versus manual swabbing

- More consistent, improved product quality
- Less operator workload
- Safer (and more healthy)



Autoswabbing by robot: various options

Precise and accurate spraying (controllability, layer thickness)

Swab frequency

Intuitiveness of UI

(Potential) other robot functions

Consistency
HE losses
Disruption
Lubricant consumption

Health and safety

Easiness of use



Autoswabbing by BlankRobot

- Precise and accurate spraying (controllability, layer thickness)
- Swab frequency
- Intuitiveness of UI
- (Potential) other robot functions





Autoswabbing by BlankRobot: some results

Increased stability of forming process

- Automated swabbing every 2-3 hours
- No process disturbance of swabbing
- ✓ No swabbing related defects
- No spillage of lubricant
 - Less lubricant consumption
 - Clean machine
 - Inproved Health & Safety
- Reduced operator workload







 \checkmark

Managing variation



heading for perfection

Manage variation at Industry 4.0 level



Apply sensors

• Measure factual glass wall thickness variations (and defects) on every single bottle





Apply sensors

- Relate this info to
 - Cavity
 - Section
 - Gob (F-M-B)
 - IS machine

274 274 274	Muditullion program and			
1000 Hold	multimpulsed of the marting			127_
				•20_
-31%	white the second second		on the second se	·
UP S	15 2715 3015 3915 4915 49	15 5915 5516 0016 0716 10 NUMBER: 71272 ARTICL	_10.1510 t : fama	20_
2815, 3922-48	17.97. 17.99. 19.99. 19.99.			127 15862 70
05. WAA (Yoor	112 112 129 110 119		10150 E: tana) 127 117	127_ 12802 100 12
2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			10 15 (0 E. Sana 327 	02862 No 10 10 10
			110334 15 Inter 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	127_ 107_ 107_ 107_ 107_ 107_ 107_ 107_ 10
				129_ France Poi 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
				127 127 12 12 13 14 14
			II IN IP A 	201 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
			A 	род 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11





Apply sensors

- Understand shift in glass wall thickness variations
 - Timing (cooling, contact)
 - Swabbing
 - Gob weight/shape/temperature
 - Gob loading
 - Temperatures blanks/parison/plunger
 - Wear and malfunction
- Measure sub processes by facts -> gob weight/shape/temper gob loading, temperatures blanks/parison/plunger, wear
- Organize around facts ightarrow SOP's





Apply sensors for gob loading







Apply (automated) closed loops

- Available today:
 - Gob weight control
 - Ware spacing control
 - Mould temperature control
 - Plunger process control
 - Vertical glass distribution control
 - Plunger temperature control
 - Delivery alignment control
 - Feeder temperature control
 - .

• ...

...





A new world of glass making





Questions

- Can we make glass bottles lighter and stronger?
- Can we make glass bottles with much less glass wall thickness variations = almost constant glass distribution = almost no defects?
- Can we make glass bottles based on mainly factual measurements instead of only human experience?





Yes we can!



heading for perfection



Bright ideas. Better glass. Better world.